## **EXHIBIT 5**

07/21/2005

```
Page 1
  1
                                          Volume: I
  2
                                          Pages:
                                                   1 - 105
  3
               UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
  4
                 DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
 5
                            C.A. No. 04-11686-WGY
 6
 7
      VANTAGE FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.
 8
                   Plaintiff,
 9
           v.
10
      NONPROFIT SERVICE GROUP, INC.,
11
      and GEORGE E. MILLER
12
                   Defendants.
13
14
15
                            *****
16
                 DEPOSITION OF LAWRENCE C. LYON
17
                      Thursday, July 21, 2005
18
                       Peabody & Arnold, LLP
19
                          30 Rowes Wharf
20
                       Boston, Massachusetts
21
                            10:00 a.m.
22
                   Reporter: Linda M. Grieco
23
              320 Congress Street, Boston, MA 02210
24
```

Case 1:04-cv-11686-WGY Document 56-6 Filed 11/29/2005 Page 3 of 5 07/21/2005			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 32 24	Vantage Financial Services?  A. I'm sorry, I don't talk like that, I'm sorry.  Q. I try not to.  A. No, no, I don't speak to people like that.  MR. JOHNSON: Maybe this would be a good point, would you like to call the hospital now?  MR. NAHIGIAN: Well, I don't think we got an answer.  Q. Is the answer no?  A. I'm sorry?  Q. I asked you whether or not you asked George Miller to get a specific ruling from the United States Postal Service about whether or not the language of the contemplated contract between Vantage and the Shriners complied with postal regulations?  A. Yes, sir, he told me  Q. Did you ever ask him to do that?  A. Absolutely. Did I ever ask him to make sure that this agreement would be approved by the post office?  Q. To obtain a specific ruling of approval by the post office.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 104  C E R T I F I C A T E  I, LAWRENCE C. LYON, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony, and further certify that it is a true and accurate record of my testimony (with the exception of the corrections listed below):  Page Line Correction  Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury this day of, 2005.  LAWRENCE C. LYON
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 22 23 24		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 105 COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS) SUFFOLK, SS.  I, Linda M. Grieco, Professional Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify that LAWRENCE C. LYON, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness.  I further certify that I am neither related to or employed by any of the parties in or counsel to this action, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action.  In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 24th day of July, 2005.  Linda M. Grieco Notary Public My commission expires December 15, 2011

```
Page 106
  1
                                            VOLUME:
                                                     ΙI
  2
                                            PAGES: 106 to 245
  3
                                            EXHIBITS: 8 to 37
  4
  5
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 6
                   DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
 7
 8
      VANTAGE FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.,
 9
                          Plaintiff,
10
           v.
                                           Civil Action
11
                                           No. 04-11686-WGY
12
      NONPROFIT SERVICE GROUP, INC.,
13
      and GEORGE E. MILLER,
14
                          Defendants.
15
16
17
              CONTINUED DEPOSITION OF LAWRENCE C. LYON
18
                            August 9, 2005
19
                              10:20 a.m.
20
                        Peabody & Arnold, LLP
21
                            30 Rowes Wharf
22
                       Boston, Massachusetts
23
24
               Reporter: Karen A. Interbartolo, RPR
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

Page 117

Page 118

Page 115

THE WITNESS: What?

Q. He's objecting.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

MR. JOHNSON: I just objected to the form. Don't let that interfere with whatever an appropriate response to the question would otherwise be.

- A. I've never seen the document before. I understand what they're saying, yes.
- Q. Could you tell me what your understanding is of what the document said?
- A. That after a couple of conversations regarding the commissions, I was informed that until this program broke even and it started making profits, I wasn't getting paid anything.
- Q. Is it your understanding that in -- Which program are you referring to?
  - A. Funded acquisitions. Shrine specifically.
  - Q. Anything other than the Shrine?
- 19 A. There were other deals. This was the only 20 thing of any significance.
  - Q. What is funded acquisition? What does that term mean, as you understand it?
    - A. Financed business.
- 24 Q. Financed by who or what?

A. Exactly?

Q. No. Your best approximation.

MR. JOHNSON: If you can answer the question based upon knowledge, that's fine, but you're not to speculate or guess about it.

- A. No, sir.
- Q. Can you give me your best approximation of what the gross margin on the Shriners Hospitals for Children program was?
  - A. Gross margin?
  - Q. Yes.

MR. JOHNSON: Best approximation is not the same as a guess. It has to be based upon some factual knowledge.

A. \$15 million.

MR. JOHNSON: I'm sorry. Could you read the answer back, please?

(Record read.)

- A. Gross.
- 20 Q. Do you know what Vantage's gross revenues in 21 terms of the total amount of money that was paid on 22 account of the Shriners program were?
- 23 A. Again, this isn't an exact.
  - Q. I'm asking for your best approximation based

Page 116

- A. Vantage.
- Q. When exactly were you told that you weren't going to be receiving any commission until this program broke even? Was that sometime in 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003?
- A. There were numerous references during the course of the Shrine program regarding commissions being held until after the program was over.
- Q. Wasn't that the case for all the programs that you handled?
  - A. No. sir.
- Q. I thought you were receiving a draw and that you would settle the commission after the program was completed.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Did the Shrine program ever break even, to your knowledge?
  - A. Yes.
- 19 Q. At what point did it break even, as far as 20 you know?
- 21 A. When they sent us a check for \$7 million that 22 was outstanding.
- 23 Q. Do you know what Vantage's gross margin on the entire Shriners Hospitals for Children was?

- on knowledge that you have. I'm not asking you to 2 quess.
  - A. Approximately \$40 million gross revenue.
- 4 Q. Do you know whether or not Vantage calculated 5 net profit figures for the Shriners program?
  - A. No, sir.
  - Q. I asked you whether or not you knew. I'm going to ask you a different question. Did Vantage calculate net profit figures for the Shriners program, to your knowledge?

MR. JOHNSON: Objection. Asked and answered.

- A. With me? No, sir.
- Q. Not with you. Just at all. Did Vantage 14
- calculate net profit figures for the Shriners Hospitals 15 16 for Children program?
- 17 A. They had to.
- Q. Do you know whether or not it did? 18 19
  - A. No.
- 20 Q. Why do you say they had to?
- 21 A. They're running a business.
- 22 Q. Take a look at the last page of Exhibit 9
- that's before you. Paragraph 2 says, "Only Larry Lyon 23
- 24 and senior management to, quote, unquote, sell funded